Realizing Raku Macros

Jonathan Worthington | Edument

Taking stock

of where Rakudo is today and what macros mean

Why it's time

for us to overhaul the Rakudo compiler frontend

The design of RakuAST a user-facing AST for the Raku language

What happens next

and where this might take us

Taking stock of where Rakudo is today and what macros mean

Raku Source













Architecturally, the frontend hasn't changed much in a decade

The last major overhaul was done by this chap...



(The one on the left, I think...)

It's carried us all the way from

"Is it vaporware?"

to

"It's running in production!"

But now, we're running into the limits of QAST...

QAST: the good

Relatively small and simple compared to the size of the Raku language

Even so, it's been a decent semantic fit for compiling Raku programs into

Has proven abstract enough for us to target a range of different backends

QAST: the good



QAST: the limitations

Primarily designed as a compiler-internal representation \rightarrow not part of the spec

Doesn't fit within the Raku type system

Even internally, it's often a little *too* abstracted -> we end up having to go back and figure out what stuff was

So what does this have to do with macros?

```
macro while-defined($cond, $body) {
    quasi {
        while (my $temp = {{{ $cond }}}).defined {
            {{ $body }}($temp);
        }
    }
}
my @a = False, True, False;
while-defined @a.shift, -> $val {
    say $val;
}
```









The most powerful uses of macros rely on being able to talk about the AST.

But ours isn't suitable for consumption by the Raku language user!

I've heard it said that "Rakudo is too complex!"



But really, I think it's too complicated

complex /'kpmpleks/ adjective consisting of many different and connected parts complex /'kpmplɛks/ adjective consisting of many different and connected parts

> An 11,000 line part is on the big side...

complex /'kompleks/ adjective consisting of many different and connected parts

...maybe we need to be more complex? 🙂

Complexity isn't inherently bad.

The challenge is how that complexity is *tackled*.

Why it's time for us to overhaul the Rakudo compiler frontend

We want macros!

We want

useful

macros!

But not only that...

Cro::WebApp::Form

```
class Signup does Cro::WebApp::Form {
    has Str $.username
        is validated(/^<[A..Za..z0..9]>+$/,
            'Only alphanumerics are allowed');
    has Str $.password is required is password;
    has Str $.verify-password is required;
    ...
}
```
Cro::WebApp::Form



Cro::WebApp::Form



Cro::WebApp::Form



Takes an ECMA262 (JavaScript) regex and compiles it into the Raku regex syntax

> Used for implementing JSON::Schema

```
method control-letter($/) {
    my $name = %control-char-to-unicode-name{~$/};
    unless $name.defined {
        die 'Unknown control character escape is present: '
                ~ $/.Str;
    }
    make '"\c[' ~ $name ~ ']"';
}
method character-class($/) {
    my $start = '<';</pre>
    $start ~= '-' if $/.Str.starts-with('[^');
    $start ~= '[' ~ $<class-ranges>.made;
    make $start ~ ']>';
```









Wouldn't it be nice if we could instead produce a tree representing the Raku regex?

> Well formed by construction! No data/syntax confusion! No time wasted parsing again!

File::Ignore

Compiles Git-style ignore file patterns into Raku regexes

Same story as ECMA262Regex

my \$mask = compile-mask('a,b,c'); mask(\$mask, %data1); mask(\$mask, %data2); mask(\$mask, %data3);







my \$mask = E	<pre>EGIN compile-mask('a,b,c');</pre>
<pre>mask(\$mask,</pre>	%data1);
<pre>mask(\$mask,</pre>	%data2);
mask(\$mask,	%data3);

Actually, BEGIN gives us a solution for this today - but with a macro we'd not need to write that!

my \$mask = E	<pre>BEGIN compile-mask('a,b,c');</pre>
<pre>mask(\$mask,</pre>	%data1);
<pre>mask(\$mask,</pre>	%data2);
<pre>mask(\$mask,</pre>	%data3);

(Also, JSON: :Mask parses this once, but walks a tree to evaluate the mask. But with a nice Raku AST, we could more easily compile it.)

```
my $app = route {
    get -> 'shop', $category {
        template 'category.crotmp', {
            products => $db.summaries($category)
        };
    }
    get -> 'catalogue', $category, $product {
        template 'product.crotmp', {
            product => $db.product($product)
        };
    }
```









And yes, the route table is compiled into....you guessed, a Raku regex!

Which is then EVAL'd!

Bet you can't guess what I'd prefer? ;-)

So much of the goodness we can get will only be achieved if we have a user-facing AST for Raku And also...

We can make Rakudo better on the inside

Better collect responsibilities

Less figuring out "what was that" especially in the optimizer

More accessible to language users

The design of RakuAST *a user-facing AST for the Raku language*

Use cases

Constructed by Rakudo as it parses source code

Passed into macros, where it can be traversed (and maybe manipulated)

Constructed by Raku programs instead of producing code strings and calling EVAL

Use cases

Construct

Must be made of Raku objects that fit within the Raku type system...

Passed into macros, where it can be traversed (and maybe manipulated)

SOULLE

Constructed by Raku programs instead of producing code strings and calling EVAL

Use cases

Constructed by Rakudo as it parses source code

Passed into raversed

...but can't compile it using Rakudo because Rakudo needs it to function!

Constructed by Raku programs instead of producing code strings and calling EVAL

So what can we do?

Piece the AST nodes together using the Meta-Object Protocol

Give them real Raku signature objects, so they introspect like other objects

Bodies of the methods are in NQP (our self-hosting Raku subset)



But...it's *so* tedious to write out all the MOP calls to do that!

So I wrote a compiler...

Subset of Raku classes, methods, and signatures with NQP bodies in

NQP code that pieces things together using the Raku MOP out

But what then?

My first idea

Start implementing RakuAST nodes

Gradually transition the action methods to producing them instead

QAST compiler knows how to ask such a node to turn itself into QAST

My first idea

Start implementi g R kuAST odes

Grade any transmont e a victomethods to mo tions them instead

QAST co.npiler knows how to ask such a node to tarn itself into QAST
I did...

RakuAST::IntLiteral RakuAST::NumLiteral RakuAST::RatLiteral RakuAST::VersionLiteral

But what next?

Pick almost anything else

Look at what it depends on

You'll end up in a recursion that sucks in most of the language

Infix operators? They use terms.

Subs (for example) are terms.

Subs have statements.

Statements have expressions.

Expressions have infix operators.

Variables?

Need a declaration model.

Declarations live in lexical scopes.

Thus blocks. Thus statements. Thus expressions. Thus terms. Thus variables.

Plan B

Implement EVAL of RakuASTs

Start with literals

Gradually build up from there

When most things work, adapt actions





<live demo>

Some interesting design issues so far...

Actions and World get sucked in and chopped up

A class declaration implies both *meta-objects* and *runtime semantics*

RakuAST *must* be involved in both: we produce meta-objects once per quasi instantiation

Actions 11,000+ LoC

World 5,500+ LoC

RakuAST::Class

RakuAST::Method

RakuAST::Signature

RakuAST::Parameter

RakuAST::StatementList

RakuAST::ExpressionStatement

RakuAST::Infix

RakuAST::VariableLookup

RakuAST::IntLiteral

Meta-objects have a construction lifecycle

Producing the class meta-object needs the attribute meta-objects

But attribute meta-objects should refer to the class they're in

Need "stubs"/partial meta-objects

Lazier meta-objects too...

Now we make them very eagerly

Opening line of a class declaration creates the meta-object

In a quasi this must be deferred until interpolation time - but BEGIN time out of a quasi needs them up to date What happens next and where this might take us I think by the summer, we can be most of the way to a RakuAST design, and have Rakudo using it

My aim is that we include RakuAST in the next Raku language release, in around a year's time

In scope:

The AST itself Macros using the AST Quasi quotes and splicing Traits accessing the AST Synthetic AST construction

Out of scope:

Non-expression macro arguments and quasi splicing Non-operator syntax additions Slangs **User-defined compiler passes**

The things that are in scope are sufficient for dealing with all of the examples I gave

The things out of scope will be considered in future Raku language versions And what might be in store for Rakudo's internal architecture?

(Disclaimer: this is all really speculative!)

A tree-walking evaluator for RakuAST

Cheapen simple, short-running BEGIN and EVAL by not doing the whole compilation thing

Which can be taken further...

Always start off tree-walking, and only compile what's hot?

More language-aware specializations at AST level? Feels like use-as-r-value style optimizations are easier at this level.



New interface to MoarVM

Same garbage collector, object model, Unicode support, JIT, etc.

Targeted at interpreter cooperation rather than being given an entire compilation unit as bytecode

A closing thought

Developer experience matters.

With Raku macros, we give module developers the power to build safer, richer, development experiences.

Let's do it.

Thank you!

- Ø jonathan@edument.cz
- W jnthn.net
- 🔰 jnthnwrthngtn
- 🗘 jnthn